134 C 70

A.184937

## JOURNAL

OF THE

## ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL.

-0000

Part I.-HISTORY, LITERATURE, &c.

No. 1.—1901.

Abū-l-Fazl's account of the Multān Sirkār in the Third Book of the Āīn-i-Akbarī.—By E. D. MACLAGAN, C.S.

[Read December, 1900.]

Abū-l-Fazl in the Third Book of the Āīn-i-Akbarī describes among other provinces the Sūba of Multān (pp. 325 to 336 of Jarrett's Translation, Vol. II). This Sūba was divided into 3 Sirkārs one of which, the Sirkār of Multān, includes the present British district of that name. Having been stationed in that district for some years I venture to make a few suggestions regarding certain difficulties which present themselves in Abū-l-Fazl's description of the Sirkār.

In commencing to describe the Sūba Abū-l-Fazl gives an account of the rivers which flow through it; he says:—

'The Bihat joins the Cināb near the province of Shor and after a course of 27 kos they unite with the Rāvī near Zafarpūr and the three flowing collectively in one stream for 60 kos enter the Indus near Uc. Within 12 kos of Fīrozpūr, the Biāh joins the Satlaj which then bears several names, viz., Har, Hārī, Danḍ, Nūrnī, and in the neighbourhood of Multān, confluent with the former four, their accumulated waters unite.'

The difficulties of this passage are fully considered in Col. Jarrett's note and I regret to say I can throw little light on the matter. Presuming the Jihlam and Cināb to have then met near Trimmū Ghāt (their present meeting place) the measurement of 27 kos (or say 54)

J. I. 1

miles, see Jarrett Ain. II. 166 n) locates the junction with the Rāvī not far from the present point of junction and this although the Rāvī is known to have run at a later date, or at least to have had an alternative course, past Multān and to have joined the Cināb south of that city. There is now no trace of any village in the Multān district which can be identified with Abū-l-Fazl's Zafarpūr.

As regards the still more mysterious sentence about the Biās and Satlaj rivers I may note that in the tract lying between the old bed of the Biās in the Multān district and the present bed of the Satlaj there are numerous meandering depressions which no doubt represent the courses of parts of one or both these rivers. Among the names by which these depressions are known are Vihārī and Bhitārī which are no doubt the counterparts of the Hārī or Har-hārī of our text. The word Dhandh is applied in this and other Panjab districts to any depression through which a river or its branch is liable to flow, and in some places the word Wahind or Wend is used in the same sense (e.g., in the name of a village, Wahind Sarmānī—the word being connected with wāhan to flow). Another word applied to the old depressions in Multān is Nai (e.g., Sukh-nai, Sidh-nai), and the Nūrnī of Abū-l-Fazl should perhaps be read as 'Nūr-nai.' Depressions of the nature described are also known as 'wālas' or 'wāhs.'

The Sirkar of Multan contained five separate tracts, viz.:-

- (i) Bet Jālandhar Duāb, between the Biās and Satlaj.
- (ii) Bārī Duāb, between the Biās and Rāvī.
- (iii) Ricnāu Duāb, between the Rāvī and Cināb.
- (iv) Sindh Sāgar Duāb, between the Cināb and Indus.
- (v) Birūn-i-Panjnad, outside the Five Rivers.

The reading of the word 'Bet' in 'Bet Jālandhar Duāb' is doubtful, see Jarrett II. 315 n.2 It is said that in old documents the phrase is 'Bast' or 'Bist' 'Jālandhar Duāb;' but this is not among the variants quoted by Blochmann (vernac. p. 550). Bist is said to be a contraction for 'Biās-Satlaj,' after the manner of 'Bārī' 'Ricnāu,' &c.

The mahals of the Bet Jālandhar Duāb and the castes inhabiting them are given as follows by Jarrett. I have added remarks and suggestions against each:—

MAHALS. CASTES.
Adamwāhan ... Ḥasar ...

## REMARKS.

This village is now held by Sayyids, but is said in the settlement records to have been founded by one Adam, a Cannar by tribe. The word Hasar ( ) must be an error for Cannar ( ). The Cannars are still a well-known tribe in this neighbourhood, and own land in this village.

_		•	·
MAHALS	<b>.</b>	CASTES:	REMARKS.
Jalalabad i	• • •	· Bhīm	This village lies ten miles north of Lodhran:
•			there is an old mosque here and remains of the
			old town. Bhim is probably a mistake for
			'Tahīm:' the Tahīms being still found in all
r			parts of the Multan district, but now chiefly in
*		-	the south-west of the Kabīrwāla Taḥṣīl. Tradi-
			tion however ascribes the foundation of this
			village to one Jalal Mahtam and it is just
			possible that 'Bhīm' here thus stands for
			'Mahtam,' but in other instances (see below) it
			is almost certainly a misreading for 'Tahīm.'
Dunyāpūr		Ūkī, Rānū	A well-known town in the Lodhran Tahşīl.
C	•••	On the	The tribe referred to is probably that of the
			Utheras, a common tribe in this Tabal, though
			no longer owning land round Dunyāpūr. Possibly
			the cognate tribe of the Nūns is also included
			so that the names of the tribes would read:
			'Uthera, Nun.'
. Rājpūr		Jūnah	Rājapūr, some 3 miles north of Lodhrān, is
. realpar	- * * *	Junan	indicated; and by Junah is meant 'Joyah,' a
		-	very important tribe along the Satlaj. There
•			are still Joyas in the village, though they ascribe
			their immigration to the last century.
Shergarh	( ) 1	Kachī, Jūnah,	This is a village about 5 miles north of Mailsī,
bucigain		Bikānah, Malāh	found in the time of the Emperor Sher Shah and
		Dikanan, malan	still showing ruins of its former prosperity. The
			Kachī are certainly Khichī, a tribe of Rājpūts
			still holding a good deal of land in the neighbour-
			hood. Jūnahs = Joyas (see above). Bikānah, (v.
			1. Bhānah, etc.), I cannot identify: the Wigāmals
			and Sarganas, tribes of this Taḥṣīl, suggest
			•
			themselves. The Malāḥ may refer merely to the boatman caste, but there are none of this tribe
*			now in the neighbourhood of Shergarh.
Fathpūr		Jūnah	A big brick-built village, afterwards the head
raupui	***	Junan	of a pargana: and, according to tradition, founded
			by the Joyas. It lies about 9 miles south of
			Mailsī.
Kalıror	•	Jūnah	
1xaiii Oi	•••	ounan	A municipal town, lying half way between Lodhrān and Mailsī. The Joyahs still hold a fair
			amount of land in this neighbourhood.
Khāibūldī		Jat and another	Khāi, now a heap of ruined mounds, lies about
TTHOUGHT.	•••	name illegible	15 miles north of Mailsi: there are local tradi-
		.سرو سو .BI]	tions as to its extreme prosperity in the days
7			when it received canal irrigation from the Bias.
		[سرومو	It was called Khai-būldī from one Būldī, a
			Dilash asks in said to have founded it Who

Biloch, who is said to have founded it. The

MAHALS. CASTES.

REMARKS.

tribe referred to may be 'Jat Mitrū,' the Mitrū Jats being the chief tribe now in that neighbourhood but the traditional date of the Mitrū immigration is after Akbar's time. Or the doubtful name may be read 'Saurū,' the Saurūs being a tribe in possession of land in the neighbourhood.

Ghalū Khārah Kalū, Jat

This refers doubtless to the tract near the present junction of the Cināb and the Ghārah (as the lower Satlaj is locally called), which is inhabited, mainly, by the Ghallū tribe of Jats and its offshoots. The mahal would thus be 'Ghallū Ghārah,' and the tribe 'Ghallū Jat.'

Similarly as regards the Bārī Duāb:—

Islāmpūr ... Bhīm, Maral ...

I have been told by a peasant that Islāmpūr was the old name for Gardezpūr in the Shujā'ābād Taḥṣīl; but the Marrals have left no traces of their power so far south and I expect the Islāmpūr is near Kasba (in the south of the Multān Taḥṣīl) which is the present headquarters of the Marral tribe. The Islāmpūr 'topa' is still spoken of as a measure of capacity in the Shujā'ābād Taḥṣīl.

Ismā'ilpūr ... Maral ...

Site unknown. I have been told verbally however that this place lay near Kotlī Nijābat, some 12 miles east of Shujā'ābād: and this is not unlikely.

Multān Town... Bhīm, Shaikhzāda The vernac. is 'Bulda Multān' and this term is applied in all Imperial sanads, etc., to the tract round the city (chiefly to the north and east) not to the city itself. The Shaikhzādas are the Quraishīs who held charge of the famous shrines of Bahā-ul-Ḥaqq and Rukn-i-'Ālam.

Tulambah ... Sohū ... ..

This is Tulambah on the Rāvī in the Kabīrwāla Taḥṣīl and the tribe referred to is that of the 'Sahūs,' still very prevalent in those parts.

Villages of the ......
parganah of
Caukhandī

Caukhandī itself was in the Ricnāu Duāb (see below).

Suburban dis- Bhīm ... trict of Multān

The vernac. is 'Ḥavelī Shahr.' The Havelī parganah of Imperial times seems to have stretched along the Cināb river north of Multān, and this is now the chief habitat of the Tahīm tribe in the district.

Villages of the parganah of Khatpūr

Khatpūr was in the Ricnāu Duāb (see below).

MAHALS.	CASTES.	Remarks.			
Villages of the		This parganah was in the Ricnau Duab (see			
parganalı of		below).			
Deg Rāvī					
Sh <b>ā</b> lı'ālampūr	*****	Site unknown. I have been told verbally by			
		native informants that this lay somewhere in the south-east of the Multan Taḥṣil.			
Villages of the		This is the Khāi-būldī referred to on page 3.			
parganah of					
Khāi-būldī					
Matīlah	••••	This should probably be read as 'Metla' and			
		held to refer to some part of the country held by			
		the Metla Jats who are now scattered about in			
	** <b>1</b>	the north and east of the Multan Taḥṣil.			
The Richāu Duāb:—					
Irajpūr and	Kharal	This mahal lay in the Montgomery district			
Deg Rāvī		apparently between the Deg and Ravi rivers.			
		The Kharrals are still a powerful tribe on the Rāvī.			
Caukhandī	ditto.	ditto. ditto.			
Khatpūr	Jat, Sindha	There is an insignificant village of this name a			
•••	·	few miles west of Sarāi Siddhū, through which			
		the Rāvī now runs. As it is called Khaṭpūr			
		Sandha, from the Jat tribe of Sandhas, there is			
		little reason to doubt its representing the village of the text.			
Dalibhati	Kharal	Not identified. The place was probably in the			
Danghan	11111111111	Montgomery district and the latter end of the			
		name is probably 'Bhattī,' and refers to the			
		tribe of that name.			
Kalba	Jat, Sohū	This should be read 'Kulambah.' In later			
		Imperial times the parganah north of the Rāvī			
Å		was known as Kulambah and that to the south as Tulambah. The tribe in possession was that			
:		of the Sahū Jats already mentioned under			
		Tulambah (p. 4).			
The Sind	dh Sâgar Du				
	,	See under Bäri Duab (p. 4).			
Villages of Islāmpūr	*****	See ander Dari Daws (p. 4).			
Rangpür	. Jat	This is a well-known village near the Cināb			
		north of Muzaffargarh.			
Raipūr Kanki	. Bhīm	We should probably read 'Raipūr Kanakke.'			
		There is a village called Amīrpur Kanakke on			
Missallowan		the west bank of the Cinab.			
Miscellaneous villages	•••••	******			
_		remembered was much nearer to the Cināb then than it			
·		or of mahals of the Duah)			

is now, hence the small number of mahals of the Duab.)

Beyond the Five Rivers:—

The mahals of this tract need not be mentioned in detail as they are nearly all outside the present Multan district. It will be noticed however from the list that the Indus flowed north of Sitpur in the Muzaffargarh district and that the Cinab apparently joined the Satlaj some miles to the east of the present point of junction. The village of Rāprī, about 8 miles west of Jalālpūr Pīrwāla; which now lies slightly to the east of the Cināb, then lay on the west. The 'Majloh Ghāzīpūr' of the text may be the present Ghāzīpūr in Taḥṣīl Shujā'ābād, but if so the river Cināb must have run very much to the east of its present There is moreover a tradition that the present Ghāzīpūr (which is a large brick village, once the headquarters of a Sikh parganah) was founded in the last century by the Nawwabs of Dera Ghāzī Khān. The list of mahals also includes a place called Ubāorah, and if this is the village of that name lying immediately south of Jalalpur Pirwala, the Cinab must have then cut off a very considerable tract of country which now lies on its eastern bank.